



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			TORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/443,12	5 11/18/99	FREENY		С	2551.032
CHARLES A CODDING DUNLAP & CODDING PC 9400 NORTH BROADWAY		TM02/0814	٦	EXAMINER	
				NAJJAR ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 420	H BRUADWAY CITY OK 73114			2154 DATE MAILED:	10
					08/14/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

gn/

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
₩.		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
1	Office Action Comments	09/443,125	FREENY, CHARLES C.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Saleh Najjar	2154					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence address					
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	<u>May 2001</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Ti	his action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1, 18-22, and 25-36 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 18-22, and 25-36</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. ≸ 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).								
Attachmen	ut(s)							
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) 🔲 Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
16) 🔲 Not	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) prmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) Notice of Informa	Il Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Serial No. 09/443,125 Art Unit 2154

1. This action is responsive to the amendment filed on May 31, 2000. Claims 23-24 were canceled. Claims 35-36 are newly added. Claims 1, 18-22, and 25-36 are pending.

Specification

- 2. The specification is objected to as not being in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.77 regarding the required elements of the application. In particular, the specification is lacking a section entitled, "Cross-References to Related Application" which should reference continuing data related to application No. 09/014,859, filed on 01/29/1998 which is now Patent No. 6, 243,743.
- 3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1, 18-22, and 25-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-17 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,243,743. This is a double patenting rejection.

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*,

Serial No. 09/443,125 Art Unit 2154

418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CAR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CAR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CAR 3.73(b).

Claims 33-36 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 9-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,243,743. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in the instant application simply add the limitation of Internet communication means and logon security means for logging validating computer users which is old and well known in the art.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saleh Najjar whose telephone number is (703) 308-7613. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, AN MENG AI, can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-9052.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Saleh Najjar

Examiner Art Unit 2154

Sellvan